

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	T NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/757,562	01/15/2004	Isao Ebisawa	03500.017836.	4538	
5514	7590 . 10/17/2005	EXAMINER			
	ICK CELLA HARPER ELLER PLAZA	GARCIA JR, RENE			
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	, NY 10112		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			2853		

DATE MAILED: 10/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					AU			
		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)	——A12			
		10/757,56	2	EBISAWA ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Rene Gard	ia, Jr.	2853				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appears on the	cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comn o period for reply is specified above, the maximum stare to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months a ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE OF TH of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no eve lunication. attory period will apply and will will, by statute, cause the appli	IS COMMUNICATION Int, however, may a reply be tire expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this con D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,			
Status								
1)[]	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on		:				
•	•	2b)⊠ This action is no	on-final.					
′—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
-,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)	Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1 and 9</u> is/are rejected.		sideration.					
	Claim(s) <u>2-8 and 10</u> is/are objected Claim(s) are subject to restrict		equirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on 15 January 2 Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to	004 is/are: a) ☐ accection to the drawing(s) but the correction is require	e held in abeyance. Se ed if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFF	R 1.121(d).			
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority  2. Certified copies of the priority  3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation	documents have been documents have been of the priority docume nal Bureau (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Applicat nts have been receiv e 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National S	Stage			
Attachmer	at(s)							
2) Notice 3) Information	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) be of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or er No(s)/Mail Date <u>09 March 2004</u> .	•	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	ate	152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/757,562 Page 2

Art Unit: 2853

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Drawings**

- 1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference characters: "503" (page 33 line 19) and "530" have both been used to designate subtank, perhaps meant "530"; "100" (page 16 line 10) and "201" (page 13 line 26) have both been used to designate main body. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: Fig. 4, Ref. 100 (page 13 line 17); Fig. 2, Ref. 201 (page 13, line 26 & page 14, line 7); and Fig. 6, Ref. 405 (page 21 line 4). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the

Art Unit: 2853

applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

3. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character "100" has been used to designate both printer (page 13, line 8) and main body (page 16, line 10). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Specification

4. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: page 15, lines 15 and 18 "medial", perhaps meant "media".

Appropriate correction is required.

5. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: Claims 1 and 9 (page 49 lines 14-25; page 52 lines 3-14) are interpreted by the examiner to perform all of the functional limitations (last paragraph of claim) regarding the measuring means and supplying means regardless of whether one of the limitations has been

Application/Control Number: 10/757,562 Page 4

Art Unit: 2853

met. Therefore, the claim is unclear as to the metes and bounds that applicant considers to be the invention.

6. However on the contrary the specification does distinctly point out selection of one of the functional limitations (Figs. 9A & 9B; Page 33 Line 33 – Page 36 Line 3). The claim language is required to be amended to correspond to the language as disclosed in the specification.

### Claim Objections

7. Claim 8 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. With regards to claim 8, claim 8 does not contain any new limitations not presented in claim 1.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 8. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 9. Claims 1 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 10. Claims 1 and 9 fail to point out a time frame (when the measuring and comparing is to be performed) for measuring means, which allows for comparing each functional limitation therefore allowing all functional limitations to be met. Claims 1 and 9 specifically fail to point out whether only one or multiple functional limitations are to be met, and the syntax renders the metes and bounds of the invention unclear.

Art Unit: 2853

## Allowable Subject Matter

11. Claims 2-7 and 10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim.

#### Conclusion

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rene Garcia, Jr. whose telephone number is (571) 272-5980. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00AM - 4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen D. Meier can be reached on (571) 272-2149. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Plectronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Rene Garcia or 13 October 2005

R. FEGGINS PRIMARY EXAMINER 10/05 Kt